



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/520,197	02/25/2000	Francois Maurice	RCA 88.441 A	5680
22850	7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			NGUYEN, CHANH DUY	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	-	2675	
			DATE MAILED, 11/1/2/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/520,197	MAURICE, FRANCO	DIS				
navioury riodon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chanh Nguyen	2675					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a simely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	∕ to a tion in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		•				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		,				
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 16-20.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14 and 15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:		Chanha Chanh Nguyen	um				
		Chanh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2675	V				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented by applicant are not persuasive since all the limitations recited in claims 14-15 are met by Dieudonne in view of Kawamori as set forth in the rejection.